IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 397 OF 2003

BETWEEN

1. Jagannath Tiwari son of Late Shri Girdhari Lal Tiwari, aged about 43 years, resident of 151 Kasaridih, Durg, District Durg (CG).

2. Meghnath Sahu son of Gajadhar Prasad Sahu, aged about 45 years, resident of Latabod Tehsil Balod, District Durg (CG).

3. Rajiv Kumar Rathore son of Babu Lal Rathore aged about 44 years, resident of Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon (CG).

4. Vishnu Son Sarve son of Shri Rohila aged about 39 years, resident of village Siyan Marra Tehsil Balod, District Durg (CG).

All the petitioners are working in Class IV post in the Department of Excise .

PETITIONERS

A N D

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary Department of Excise, Mantralay, D.K.S. Building, Raipur, Tehsil and District Raipur (CG).

2. The Commissioner, Excise , Raipur (CG).

3. The District Excise Officer, Durg, District Durg (CG).

4. The District Excise Officer, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon.

5. District Excise Officer, Raipur, District Raipur (CG).

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(96)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 397/2003 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	02.04.2003 Shri Prashant Mishra, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri D.R.Agrawal, Dy. Adv. General for the State. Heard. Counsel for the parties submits that the matter is practically covered by two decisions i.e. the decision rendered by the M.P.Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur in Original Application No. 1006/1990 and the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court disposing of the matter. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he has filed a representation but copy of the same is not available. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner that he may make a fresh representation along with copy of petition with annexures and copy of this order before the respondent No. 1 within a month from today. If such a representation is filed, the same shall be considered and decided on its own merit in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of four months from the date of representation.	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(92)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 397/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Pathak	The petition is accordingly disposed of with liberty to avail the remedy available in case any adverse order is passed. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	